

and similes literally or with minor variations for the purpose of retardation, i.e. slowing down the action for artistic purposes. The application of this narrative technique makes folktales and epic songs merge to some extent [3, p.107].

Thereupon it is interesting to note that the most common number used in folktales is two. It is not mentioned directly but the typical folktale setting belongs to both this world and another world, main folktale characters are constantly faced with good and evil, secondary folktale characters either support or do harm to the hero. "Fairy tales thrive on simplification, focusing on polar opposites rather than on the complex continuum that connects them. A decision is right or wrong. One turns to the left or to the right" [1, p.7].

Other numbers that commonly occur in folktales are three, four, seven, twelve and thirteen, which can be explained by the structures of the natural world and the human mind.

Folktale episodes are typically repeated three times. If a set number of wishes is granted or a few challenges are to be faced, the number is almost always three. From the religious point of view, number three is associated with the Trinity of the Christian Godhead. More commonly, a triangle is the most stable of the simplest designs, the traditional family is represented by a father, a mother and a child, to name just a few examples.

Four is said to symbolize rectitude or completeness due to its association with the number of cardinal directions and sides in a rectangle. Seven dating back to the seven days of creation symbolizes completeness as well. Twelve gains its special meaning in the Judeo-Christian tradition because of the twelve tribes of Israel that inherited the Promised Land and the twelve apostles of Jesus Christ. Thirteen serves to unbalance twelve as in the thirteen participants at the last supper of Jesus.

Number symbolism has its specificity depending on the culture. Thus, in Russian folktales three prevails in motif retardation scheme (the stepdaughter is asked three times by Morozko if she feels cold; the character encounters Baba-Yaga who gives him sound advice three times, etc.), the number of characters (two clever sons and a stupid one; a submissive stepdaughter and two wicked stepsisters), magic objects (the hero wears out three pairs of boots or gnaws at three Hosts on his quest), and tasks the hero is commissioned with (spending three days and / or three nights in an enchanted place). As for numbers nine and twelve, they are less used and mainly serve to multiply number three (the wife of a czar

gives birth to triplets three times; the hero has to recognize his beloved one among the twelve daughters of the Sea King and he is given three attempts) [6, p.13].

Another narrative technique to be discussed is the one of the effect intensification and it has a ring of folktale retardation technique already described. The folktale motifs are rendered in such a manner that each following motif intensifies the effect of the previous one. For instance, in folktales about the persecuted stepdaughter, the motif of persecution is typically deepened by the motif of the stepmother's complot to exterminate the stepdaughter.

As a rule, folktale character types and motifs are parallel. The kind and weak-willed husband is always opposed to the quarrelsome and insistent wife, just as two elder and more successful brothers are contrasted with the younger and less intelligent one. If the stepdaughter's meek temper wins people's hearts and brings her a reward, the stepmother is punished for her evil deeds.

Folktales abound in typical formulas, especially at the beginning (*Once upon a time, and a very good time it was too, when the streets were paved with penny loaves and houses were whitewashed with buttermilk and the pigs ran around with knives and forks in their snouts shouting 'eat me' 'eat me' (Irish); Once upon a time, and a very good time too, though it was not in my time, nor your time, nor for the matter of that in any one's time... (British); In a certain kingdom, in a certain land, in a little village, there lived... (Russian)*) and at the end (*If my story is not true, may the soles of my shoes turn to buttermilk (Irish); In that town there was a well and in that well there was a bell. And that is all I have to tell; Step on a tin, the tin bends. This is how my story ends (British); The happy pair lived in good health and cheer for many a long and prosperous year (Russian)*) [5]. Folktale beginnings are most commonly either chronological (*Once upon a time there was...*) or topographic (*In a certain realm, in a certain land...*). Folktale closings are characterized by rhyming: *Be bow, Bend it, My story's ended. If you don't like it, You can take it to Wales, And buy some nails, And mend it.*

To sum up, the motif repetition as a means of stylistic retardation, the gradual intensification of motifs, parallelism of folktale characters and motifs and typical folktale formulas, especially at the beginning and at the end of the tale all contribute to the unique folktale narrative style sustaining its epic ceremonialism.

References

1. Ashliman, D.L. Folk and Fairy Tales: A Handbook. Greenwood Publishing Group, 2004. - 268 p.
2. Conrad, JoAnn Folktales // The Greenwood Encyclopedia of Folktales and Fairy Tales ed. by D. Haase. Greenwood Publishing Group, 2007. - 1240 p.
3. Dorson, Richard M. Folklore and Folklife: An Introduction. University of Chicago Press, 1982. - 574 p.
4. Encyclopedia Britannica. Fairy tale. Online resource. <http://global.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/200491/fairy-tale>. Date of reference: 11.05.2015.
5. Folktale openings and closings. Online resource. <http://www.folktale.net/endings.html>. Date of reference: 11.05.2015.
6. Volkov, R.M. Folktales. Volume 1. Odessa: Ukraine State Publishing House. - 1924. - 239 p. Zipes, Jack The Irresistible Fairy Tale: The Cultural and Social History of a Genre. Princeton University Press, 2012. - 256 p.

Выходцева Ирина Сергеевна

Кандидат филологических наук, Саратовский государственный аграрный университет им. Н.И. Вавилова

СОВЕТСКАЯ СЛОВЕСНАЯ КУЛЬТУРА: ОСНОВНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ И ПРОБЛЕМЫ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ

Аннотация

В данной статье анализируется советская словесная культура, т.е. общие принципы и закономерности «языковой жизни» советского общества. Различные аспекты советской словесной культуры изучаются со времени ее возникновения, особенно много сделано в постсоветские годы, но, несмотря на множество посвященных ей работ, в том числе и фундаментальных, она изучена недостаточно и несколько односторонне.

Ключевые слова: язык, культура, советская словесная культура.

Vykhodtseva Irina Sergeevna

PhD in philology, Saratov State Agrarian University named after N.I. Vavilov

SOVIET VERBAL CULTURE: MAJOR RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND PROBLEMS

Abstract

Soviet verbal culture, i.e. general principles and patterns of "linguistic life" of the Soviet society, is analyzed in the article. Various aspects of the Soviet verbal culture are studied from the time of its rise, much was done in post-Soviet era but despite a great number of research papers dedicated to it, including fundamental ones, it has been studied insufficiently and rather one-sidedly.

Keywords: language, culture, Soviet verbal culture.

By verbal culture is understood a combination of social linguistic practice and language theory characterized by general principles of organization and functioning. Verbal culture implies those general principles

underlying the organization of the language, speech, linguistic identity, philology and philological descriptions – all the linguistic life of society. These general principles are specified by the culture of the society. The notion of verbal culture enables to trace more

accurately the interrelation between a language and a culture, their unity [Romanenko 2000].

N.I. Tolstoi in his paper "Language and national culture. Sketch of the Slavic mythology and ethnolinguistics" distinguishes three historical and linguistic types of culture: national, elite and mass culture. In his opinion national culture is embodied linguistically in dialects, elite culture – in literary language, mass culture – in colloquial language. This scheme corresponded to the cultural linguistic situation in pre-revolutionary Russia. The dominant part of this triad is "elite culture – literary language". This culture is represented by the intellectuals, national culture – by peasants, mass culture – by medium class, city dwellers. In the same way linguistic embodiment of the cultures were formed: national philology (folklore), literary philology (booklore), democratic philology (common talk, pulp fiction). Folklore and booklore had a more national and cultural importance which became apparent in regular reproduction of their works. Mass philology was located on the periphery of national and cultural space and in its essence being unoriginal was reproduced on the irregular basis and had a minimum cultural value [Tolstoi 1995].

The situation changes due to the social revolution of the XXth century, the prerequisites of which were formed before. The social structure of society is changing, the intellectuals are losing their leading role in cultural development, the peasants together with a part of the intellectuals are either democratizing, becoming "the masses", or together with another part of the intellectuals are appearing to be out of the borders of the new socialistic culture, becoming its enemies. A new literary language is developing, a new literary standard, based not so much on the old standard as on its negation. The best part of traditional and literary, bookish, "intellectual" language means are becoming no longer actual or demanded. The bearers of the new standard are general public. To extend the circle of bearers to the maximum the authorities take measures of teaching literacy and cultural and linguistic formation which satisfies the desires of the masses to master not only a political primer but the cultural minimum as well. In this situation the church is becoming objectively a competitor of the authorities and withdrawing from the official culture field. In this connection the religious and philosophical lexis and phraseology are out of the literary standard. The vocal embodiment of mass culture is changing as well, it includes a thriving philology – mass media texts: mass printing, radio, cinema, television, which do not break off with the old colloquial philology. The Soviet period of the literary language history is a period of development of the literary language of mass culture, the period of struggle between the norms of the elite and mass cultures. The history of the literary language of the Soviet time represents an alternation of two linguistic standards. Thus, the Soviet culture is a mass culture which began to dominate in the whole system of culture, pushing aside the national and elite types. Mass culture is secondary in relation to the national and elite ones and represents a certain combination of national and elite cultural models.

Soviet verbal culture (further mentioned as SVC) is in the center of our observation. SVC represents "general principles and patterns of "linguistic life" of the Soviet society. Various aspects of SVC have been studied since its emergence, in particular much has been done in the post Soviet times but despite a great number of papers dedicated to it including fundamental ones, SVC has been studied insufficiently and rather one-sidedly.

In the 1920's-30's G.O. Vinokur, S.I. Kartsevsky, E.D. Polivanov, A.M. Selishchev, P.Y. Chernykh, R.O. Jakobson studied transformations taking place in the Russian literary language after 1917. Significant changes in lexical and stylistic system were found (first of all occurrence of a multitude of abbreviations, rise in barbarisms and dialecticisms, considerable influence of colloquial language and formal speech, shifts in semantics and emotional colouring of many words).

In his book "The language of the revolutionary epoch. From the observations of the Russian language of the latest years (1917-1926)" A.M. Selishchev conveyed an analysis of the changes taking place in the Russian language connected to the revolution and establishment of the new system. Emphasizing a communication, expressive and nominative functions of the language, the scientist traces not only the process of formation of the basic political notions typical for the Soviet system, but he distinguishes how the "backward" world of social and political institutes and

phenomena attempts to comply with the new images reflected in the language: "I have come to love new words. But I can not use them appropriately in ordinary situations. Whenever I use them, it's off the topic." [Selishchev 1928]. The author not only gives a list of the new vocabulary, but he also shows the reasons of their occurrence in the Russian language, establishes social and stylistic boundaries of their distribution, gives an assessment from the point of view of the literary norm.

The book by G.O. Vinokur "Language culture. Sketches of linguistic technology" represents interesting observations of abbreviated words, stock phrases, language and style of periodical press [Vinokur 2000].

M.A. Rybnikova in her paper "Introduction to stylistics" emphasizes "a huge role" of the new Soviet formations and neologisms in the modern language vocabulary. New words are generated due to the novel nature of life, their strength lies in the fact that they are current and have an ideological weight. According to M.A. Rybnikova, the growth of the language vocabulary of the post October revolution time results not only from the creation of the new words but from the new interpretation of the old vocabulary [Rybnikova 1937].

V.V. Vinogradov in his paper "Study of the literary Russian language over the last ten years in USSR", summarizing the results of the research done by the linguists in the field of vocabulary of the modern Russian language, distinguishes the major aspects of its research: characteristics of changes in the Russian literary language over the Soviet period; study of the industrial and professional vocabulary; systematization and summary of the papers about the Russian scientific terminology; intensification of the tendencies of introducing foreign borrowings into the lexical system of the language etc. Thus, as the author emphasizes, in the 1940's-50's there was a continuous rise in the material for generalization related to the laws and rules of changes of the modern Russian literary vocabulary due to the collapse of the old social relations and to the formation of the new ones [Vinogradov 1995].

The papers written by S.I. Ozhegov "Lexicology. Lexicography. Speech culture", by I.F. Protchenko "Vocabulary and word formation in the Russian language of the Soviet epoch" are dedicated to the language of the Soviet time. In I.F. Protchenko's monograph special attention is drawn to the social and political vocabulary and sport terminology as well as to the description of the most productive types of word formation [Protchenko 1975].

Special attention should be given to the paper by N.A. Kupina "Totalitarian language: vocabulary and speech reactions" where the author considers the vocabulary of Soviet ideologemes related to the political, philosophical, religious, ethical and artistic fields as well as language resistance and language opposition of the communist ideology in Russia. The author believes that the main function of the totalitarian language is that of the ideological direction, realized in ideologeme by which a world-view directive (direction) is understood, put in the linguistic shape. Following the "Explanatory dictionary of the Russian language" edited by D.N. Ushakov the author distinguishes and describes the major ideologemes of the totalitarian language such as *policy*, *party*, *general policy of the party*, *Leninism* etc. [Kupina 1995]

The research papers on the Soviet culture in general belong to this time as well. The most significant paper in this regard is written by V.Z. Paperny "Culture "Two" where for the first time ever the author started the discussion about the opposition of the two cultural models of the Soviet epoch at the lexical level as well.

In the monograph by A.P. Romanenko "Soviet verbal culture: image of rhetorician" the author researches the general patterns of the SVC through the linguistic identity called the image of a rhetorician in the monograph. On the basis of the teaching of Y.V. Rozhdestvensky about ethos, pathos and logos, A.P. Romanenko considers the conditions of speech activity of the Soviet rhetorician (ethos), orientation of the content of his speeches depending on the type of speech (pathos) and the means of linguistic expression in respect to the conditions and orientation of the content (logos). A.P. Romanenko takes two cultural models to describe SVC: C1 and C2. They are opposed to each other, C2 tries to destroy its predecessor. The relations between the languages of these two cultures are ambiguous. A.P. Romanenko distinguishes them as the old language and the new one and describes them not as different

languages but as two linguistic standards: language 1 can not be called the old one (only the old “modernized” language) because culture 1 “processed” it. Language 2 is a proper new one. “The sense of the novelty of the language and of all the speech activity was sustained by the developed hostile attitude to everything old and to culture 1 as well”. It is language 2 that is called a newspeak (by Orwell) or officialese (by K.I. Chukovsky) [Romanenko 2000].

The papers by N.A. Kozhevnikova, S. Kordonsky, E. Lassan, Y.I. Levin, V.M. Mokienco and T.G. Nikitina, B.Y. Norman, P. Seriot, A.P. Chudinov and a number of other authors hold an idea that the language of SVC had a “diglossy”, or to be more precise several “dialects” were used (official, dissident, philistine, “secret”). As M.A. Krongauz truly observes, it is wrong to believe that “the Russian language in the Soviet epoch was awkward, bureaucratic and hard to understand. Only one of its forms was like that, notably the “newspeak”, but there was no other way for the “newspeak” to be different. Its structure was predetermined by its purpose” [Krongauz 1999]. It is noteworthy that the Soviet “newspeak” is not the language of the whole Soviet nation but the official language of the totalitarian society.

V.Z. Paperny in his paper “Culture “Two” describes the history of the architecture of the Soviet period by means of two cultural models: C1 and C2. C1 represents the model by means of which the material of the 1920’s is interpreted, and C2 – the processes of the 1930’s-50’s. The opposition of “C1 – C2” is considered quite comfortable to describe the events taking place in the same space but at other points of time, and in this paper the author assumes that some part of the Russian history can be

described in terms of alternate predominance of C1 and C2.

V. Paperny’s general hypothesis has two statements. The first statement: all the processes taking place in the Soviet architecture in the 1920’s -30’s can be considered as the expression of the more general cultural processes, and the victory of C2 over C1 should be viewed as the most important of them. The second statement: some processes of the Russian history have a cyclic nature, they can be described in terms of alternate C1 and C2.

Cultural processes are interpreted through three aspects stated by the author in the form of the main oppositions *spreading/hardening*, *mechanism/person*, *lyrics/epos*. C1 is characterized by spreading, mechanic nature and represents lyrics. As for C2, it hardens, oriented to a person and inclined to epos.

While C1 has a mechanic nature, C2 connects itself with a living organism. C1 leans on abstract notions while C2 – on the names. C1 readily applies figures, from the point of view of the following epoch, it is dumb. C2 is the verbal culture. C1 is oriented to reasonability. C2 is oriented to artistry. C1 excludes individuality and C2 is oriented to a person, who is ideal, who is not connected in any way with real people, living in the USSR. C2 does not notice the discrepancy between the ideal image and the real life [Paperny 1999].

In Paperny’s interpretation C1 and C2, besides obvious differences, have a common nature too, which first of all consists in excluding a person at the level of creation and at the level of culture “consumption”.

Thus, the specific character of SVC consists in its heterogeneity: “unity and struggle” of the two cultural and historical standards, two cultural models.

References

1. Виноградов В.В. Изучение русского литературного языка за последние десятилетия в СССР. М. - 1955. - 90 с.
2. Винокур Г.О. Введение в изучение филологических наук. М. - 2000. – 234 с.
3. Кронгауз М.А. Критика языка // Логос. 1999. № 3. // www.ruthenia.ru/number/1999-03-13.htm.
4. Купина Н.А. Тоталитарный язык: Словарь и речевые реакции. Екатеринбург-Пермь. - 1995. - 143 с.
5. Паперный В.З. Культура «Два». М. -1996. - 384 с.
6. Протченко И.Ф. Лексика и словообразование русского языка советской эпохи: Социолингвистический аспект. М.- 1975.- 245 с.
7. Романенко А.П. Советская словесная культура: Образ риторика. Саратов. - 2000. - 212 с.
8. Рыбникова М.А. Введение в стилистику. М.- 1937.- 282 с.
9. Селищев А.М. Язык революционной эпохи. Из наблюдений над русским языком последних лет (1917 – 1926). М.- 1928. – 248 с.
10. Толстой Н.И. Язык и народная культура. Очерки по славянской мифологии и этнолингвистике. М.- 1995. – 158 с.

Linguistics and languages (UDC 81)

Приорова Ирина Валерьевна

Доктор филологических наук., Астраханский Государственный Университет

Красавина Анна Павловна

Студент, Астраханский Государственный Университет

Биктагирова Мадина Камильевна

Студент, Астраханский Государственный Университет

Лебедева Ирена В

Доцент социологии, Каспийский Институт морского и речного транспорта

ЭСТЕТИКА КАК ЯЗЫКОВАЯ ПРОБЛЕМА В КОНЦЕПЦИЯХ К. ФОСЛЕРА И Э. КОСЕРИУ

Аннотация

В статье сопоставляются концепции двух выдающихся лингвистов XX века Карла Фосслера и Эуджена Косериу, которые в своих работах, независимо от лингвистических школ, выделяли приоритет эстетической или культурной составляющей в развитии языка.

Эстетический идеализм, поэтическая идея, правильность и истинность, идеальные формы языка, система возможностей, инновация и принятие.

Ключевые слова: эстетика, языковая проблема, концепция.

Priorova Irina Valer

Doctor of Philology, Astrakhan State University

Krasavina Anna Pavlovna

Student, Astrakhan State University

Biktagirova Madina Kamil

Student, Astrakhan State University

Lebedeva Irena V.

Sc.D. (sociology), associate professor, Caspian Institute of Sea & River Transport

AESTHETICS AS A LANGUAGE ISSUE IN THE CONCEPTS

Abstract

The article compares the concepts of two prominent linguists of the twentieth century Karl Vossler and Eugeniu Coseriu who regardless of their belonging to different linguistic schools, prioritize aesthetic or cultural components in the development of a language in their works.

Keywords: aesthetic idealism, poetic idea, correctness and truth, ideal forms of language, capabilities system, innovation and adoption.

Keywords: aesthetics, language problem, concept.