

**ЛЕКСИКО-СЕМАНТИЧЕСКАЯ РАЗМЕТКА ИМЕННЫХ КЛАССОВ В МИНОРИТАРНОМ  
АФРИКАНСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ: ДАННЫЕ ЯЗЫКА НДОНДЕ**

Научная статья

**Громова Н.В.<sup>1</sup>, Урб М.Р.<sup>2</sup> \***

<sup>1,2</sup>Московский государственный университет им. М.В. Ломоносова, Москва, Россия

\* Корреспондирующий автор (urb72[at]mail.ru)

**Аннотация**

Статья посвящена лексико-семантической разметке именных классов в одном из миноритарных языков банту – ндонде, который до сих пор не был включен в мировой научный оборот. Параллельно рассматриваются вопросы лингвистической классификации языка ндонде и социальной истории языкового сообщества ндонде. На основе данных нашего полевого исследования языка ндонде можно выделить 18 именных классов. В статье анализируются грамматические особенности и особое внимание уделяется лексико-семантической характеристике каждого именного класса. Даже эти предварительные данные по языку ндонде имеют важное не только научное, но и практическое значение для уточнения классификации языков банту и написании сопоставительных грамматик.

**Ключевые слова:** языки банту, язык ндонде, социальная история, лингвистическая классификация, именной класс, семантика, лексико-семантическая разметка.

**LEXICAL-SEMANTIC TAGGING OF THE NOMINAL CLASSES IN THE MINORITY AFRICAN LANGUAGE:  
THE NDONDE LANGUAGE DATA**

Research article

**Gromova N.V.<sup>1</sup>, Urb M.R.<sup>2</sup> \***

<sup>1,2</sup>M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

\* Corresponding author (urb72[at]mail.ru)

**Abstract**

The article deals with the lexical-semantic tagging of the nominal classes in Ndonge, one of the Bantu minority languages, which has not yet been included in the world scientific revolution. At the same time the complexity of linguistic classification of the Ndonge language and the socio-history of the Ndonge speaking community are considered. Basing on personal field research data Ndonge nouns are classified into 18 nominal classes. The article is devoted to the analysis of grammar features and lexical-semantic characteristics of each of these nominal classes. Even these preliminary data on Ndonge language are important not only scientific but also practical importance to refine the classification of the Bantu languages and writing comparative grammar.

**Keywords:** Bantu languages, Ndonge language, socio-history, linguistic classification, nominal class, semantics, lexical-semantic tagging.

**Introduction**

This paper addresses some issues around the ambiguous genealogical status of Ndonge, a Bantu language spoken by approximately 2 500 people in Lindi and Mtwara Regions in southeastern Tanzania. It is argued that the unclear linguistic affinity of the Ndonge language is the result of the socio-historical background of its speakers, characterized by a substantial amount of contact and intermingling, as well as a relatively recent consolidation of an ethnic identity.

The main issues will be discussed: the problematic linguistic classification of Ndonge, the socio-historical background of the Ndonge speaking community and further insights with regard to the modern status of the Ndonge language, the question of the lexical-semantic tagging of the nominal classes in the Ndonge language.

*The ambiguous linguistic affiliation*

The classification of the Bantu languages by M. Guthrie [9] placed Ndonge as a member of the Southeastern Bantu under group 20 in zone R with number 24, along with the languages Yao, Mwera, Makonde, etc. In contrast to the languages of this group, quite thoroughly researched and described in the scientific literature, Ndonge was not systematically analyzed either in linguistic works or in studies on history and ethnography. Practically there are no works on the language of Ndonge [14, P. 203].

The ethnolinguistic map in the south of Tanzania is very complex. The boundaries between the neighboring languages are practically insignificant. The speakers of various languages, living side by side, are mostly polylingual speakers: in addition to the native language and official Swahili (and less often English), they also speak two or three other languages of neighboring Bantu ethnoses.

The small number of the Ndonge ethnos raises the question: is Ndonge a separate language (albeit closely related) or a dialect of some other language [2]. Such a problem is not new. As is well known, 'often with quite obvious areal division into certain local language varieties it is rather difficult to qualify the degree of their autonomy with respect to each other and the surrounding languages. In many cases in practice of interpretation and classification of such units there is ambiguous solution of the question whether the language varieties of a particular area are:

- a) separate closely related languages;
- b) dialects of a single language;

c) dialects forming a “transition zone” or “zone of vibration” between two (or more) related languages (in the latter case, additional difficulties are also connected with the geographical boundary, sometimes quite conditional, on both sides of which these local units should be located, related to various communities);

d) a number of closely related languages with dialects belonging to them, etc. [5, P. 127].

Only in one recently published work [13, P. 7] Ndonge is classified as one of the dialects of the Makonde language community along with such dialects as Matabwe, Nyima and Malaba.

However, in Atlas of Tanzanian languages it takes 140 place among 150 languages numerically speaking on it, i.e. is regarded as a separate language [6, P. iii]. According to the internal classification of the Tanzanian languages, the Ndonge language is placed in the group VII “Rufiji-Ruvuma”, the subgroup C “Ruvuma” together with languages such as Yao, Mwera, Makonde, Maraba, Lomwe, Matambwe and Makua [6, P. xi].

#### *The ambiguous socio-historical background*

At one time Ndonge was one of the largest ethnic groups in the south of Tanganyika (modern Tanzania). The time of the rise of the Ndonge ethnos is attributed by the Tanzanian historian J. Nyagali [16, P. 9] to the middle of the 19th century, around 1860, i.e. time of military expansion of the Nguni tribes from the southeastern regions of South Africa to the north. At the same time, he cites the name of the leader of the ethnic union of Mvera and Ndonge *Mkulungwa Mkuchika*, according to the historian, widely known in the 19th century. During the German rule in this region (Deutsch-Ostafrika) the leaders (in Swahili *majumbe*) were appointed to help the colonial authorities. J. Nyagali gives the names of twenty two leaders in southern Tanganyika, two of whom were leaders Ndonge [16, P. 10], i.e. at the beginning of the 20th century the Ndonge ethnos was still large enough to have its representation in the local authorities. Essentially, the study of the Tanzanian historian cited above is one of the attempts to understand the complex areal ethnic history of the Bantu peoples in the south of modern Tanzania. As J. Nyagali considers, at the end of the 19th century Ndonge occupied vast areas of the southern districts of Tanganyika, from Kilwa in the east to the west Livale [16, P. 12]. According to all probability, we can say that modern ethnic differentiation has developed, in general terms, in this region at the end of the 19th century, and at this time Ndonge ethnicity was quite numerous, and occupied a vast territory.

#### *The modern status of the Ndonge language*

On the map of present-day Tanzania, in all the southern and southeastern regions of the Lindi district from Kilwa to Livale and beyond, one can find individual members of this ethnic group, but their most compact residence is observed in the Nachingwea region, where, according to Atlas languages of Tanzania, live 2229 people [6]. The Ndonge language is on the verge of extinction: if in 1987 the number of speakers on it was about 33,000 [14], then in 2007-2008, it decreased to 2 458 people [6], and according to our observations during the field research in southern Tanzania in 2014 the native speakers of Ndonge continues to decline. Thus, at present the ethno-linguistic and geographical area of Ndonge decreased significantly and became dispersed.

Such situation, known as “language death”, has a simple explanation: the systematic expansion of the Swahili language, the growth of large cities with the outflow of rural youth, the increase in the number of inter-ethnic marriages and etc. At this point it is important to mention the impact of Swahili on Ndonge as a reflection of the general sociolinguistic pattern in Tanzania. The rapid growth of Swahili constitutes a major threat to the ethnic languages like Ndonge. For instance, Swahili is used both as a medium of instruction and a subject for primary education. Moreover, Swahili functions as a means of mass communication.

The actual sociolinguistic profile of Ndonge indicates that the language and the culture of this ethnos are kept only by the people of the older generation. The only domains in which Ndonge is used have been confined to the home and within the small Ndonge community. In fact, the Ndonge language serves traditional situations.

#### **Method**

Our main goal was to describe the nominal class system and mark out the nominal classes in Ndonge, the significant feature of the grammar. Ndonge native speakers were presented with questionnaires compiled on the basis of the two-hundred-thousand list of Swadesh (The Swadesh wordlist) with the addition of African realities. We managed to interview six informants from different rural areas of southern Tanzania, mainly from Mtwara region [3, P. 13]. Comparison and analysis of their answers allows us to give a preliminary description of the nominal class system of the Ndonge language.

Therefore, our research began precisely with the identification of features of the nominal system of Ndonge. In this article, only two criteria will be taken into account: morphological and semantic. The description of the entire agreement system of Ndonge requires further study.

#### **Discussion**

In the Bantu languages there are up to 27 nominal class oppositions. There are fewer classes in the Ndonge language. The morphological criterion, namely the form of the prefix of the noun, allows us to tag 18 classes. In fact, Ndonge nouns may be divided into classes distinguishable by the pairs of prefixes which indicate the singular and plural numbers. Each noun class receives a numerical designation in accordance with the general Bantu classification, proposed by Bleek [7], Meinhof [15] and Guthrie [9].

#### *Lexical-semantic tagging*

Ndonge is a Bantu language spread in Tanzania. Bantu languages are a large group of languages, part of the Niger-Congo macrofamily. These languages are spoken in almost all sub-Saharan Africa. The nominal system in the Bantu languages is an important lexico-grammatical category that defines all levels of the language [8]. Often it is called the dominant of the grammatical system, and the Bantu languages are simply languages with nominal classes that tie together different parts of the language structure and turn it into a coherent organism. Exploring the semantics of nominal classes, some of the outstanding scientists came to the conclusion that the nominal classification reflects the real relations existing between the phenomena of objective reality and their evaluation by a person. In nominal classifications he singled out several different principles reflecting the subject division, the ratio of objects in space, their comparison in size and quality, the emotional evaluation by those realities that the speakers call these classes [4, P. 110-111].

The tagging of nominal classes in Ndonge is as follows: class 1 (mu-, mw-, m- / *muunu* ‘man’, *mwaana* ‘child’, *mmada* ‘slave’); class 1A (Ø, a- / *mama* ‘mother’, *amavi* ‘sister’); class 2 (wa- / *waanu* ‘men’, *waana* ‘children’, *wamada* ‘slaves’); class 3 (mu-, m- / *mutwe* ‘head’, *mmele* ‘body’); class 4 (mi- / *mitwe* ‘heads’); class 5 (li- / *litanda* ‘lake’); class 6 (ma-, m- /

*matanda* ‘lakes’, *meho* ‘eyes’); class 7 (*chi-*, *ch-* / *chileu* ‘chin’, *chaka* ‘year’); class 8 (*vi-*, *vy-* / *vileu* ‘chins’, *vyaka* ‘years’); class 9 (*in-*, *ny-*, *im-* *m-*,  $\emptyset$  / *inyama* ‘meat’, *nyumba* ‘house’, *imamba* ‘crocodile’, *mbwa* ‘dog’, *kiu* ‘thirst’); class 10 (*di-* / *dinyama* ‘meats’, *dimbula* ‘rains’); class 11 (*lu-* / *luhunde* ‘river’); class 12 (*ka-* / *kayanga* ‘small stone’); class 14 (*u-* / *utumbo* ‘stomach’); class 15 (*ku-* / *kukumbukila* ‘thinking’, ‘to think’); class 16 (*pa-* / *pandu* ‘near the place’); class 17 (*ku-* / *kundu* ‘to the place’); class 18 (*mu-* / *munyumba* ‘in the house’).

*Semantic features of nominal classes in the Nonde language*

*Semantics of the 1st class (mu-, mw-):*

Usually, when describing the semantics of a class, two aspects are taken into account: grammatical and lexical semantics. As for grammatical semantics, the names of the first class are peculiar only to the singular number, as well as a sign of personality (according to the classification of Meinhof [15, P. 28], this is the ‘class of people’). On this basis, the 1st class differs from most other classes (except for 1A and 2nd).

In this class, the names of different persons are found on the basis of age, social, professional characteristics, related relations, ethnic and religious affiliation, etc., for example: *muundu* ‘man’, *mwana* ‘child’, *mpakani* ‘neighbor’, *mkongwe* ‘wife’, etc.

*Semantics of the 1st A class (subclass) ( $\emptyset$ , a-)*

As well as the 1st class, the subclass of the 1st A is characterized by signs of singular and personality / animality.

The lexical semantics of this class coincides with that of the 1st class, i.e. this class includes different names of people, especially many kinship terms, for example: *lusla* ‘kid’, *gido* ‘woman’, *mama* ‘mother’, *mati* ‘aunt’, *waki* ‘grandfather’, *wavi* ‘grandmother’. At the same time, many Swahili borrowings are prefixed by a-: *akaka* ‘brother’, *ajomba* ‘uncle’ by analogy with the original terms of kinship: *ata* ‘father’, *amavi* ‘sister’.

However, in the 1st class, besides the names of people, there are also names of animals, possibly totemic, for example: *nyehe* ‘bird’, *hawanga* ‘dog’, *hyomba* ‘fish’, etc.

*Semantics of the 2d class (wa-)*

The grammatical semantics of the 2nd class consists in the formation of the plural for the 1st and 1st A classes: *wakongwe* ‘wives’, *waluswa* ‘kids’, *wahawanga* ‘dogs’.

The lexical semantics also coincide with the 1st and 1st A classes.

*Semantics of the 3rd class (mu-, m-)*

For the grammatical semantics of this class, the sign of singularity is characteristic.

The peculiarity of the lexico-semantic structure of the third class is the absence of the sign ‘personality / animation’. According to the semantic classification of Meinhof [15], this class is conditionally called the ‘class of trees’, but the set of lexical meanings of the nouns included in it is much wider: in addition to the names of different plants and trees, there are also names of various natural phenomena, parts of the human body and animal, seasons of the year, etc., for example: *mlandi* ‘tree’, *mkongo* ‘tree species’, *mhitu* ‘forest’, *mwedi* ‘moon’, *mwanga* ‘sky’, *mwenge* ‘fire’, *mmele* ‘body’, *mutwe* ‘head’, *muhu* ‘day (daylight hours)’ and many others.

*Semantics of the 4th class (mi-)*

By the numerical sign, the nouns of the fourth class are the plural correlates of the third class. Meanwhile the 4th class contains a number of nouns that do not have a correlate of the singular number of the 3rd class, i.e. meaning pluralia tantum: *miayi* ‘blood’. Correlates of the singular can belong to other classes, for example: *mikege* ‘women’ < *mkege* (1st class), *miyongo* ‘snakes’ < *nyongo* (1st A class), *michila* ‘tails’ < *uchila* (11th class). The correlation between the 4th class and other noun classes in number is as follows: 1→4, 1A→4, 3→4, 11→4.

The lexical semantics is correlated with the one-root name of the noun of the 3rd class or the corresponding nouns of other classes, i.e. 1st, 1st A and 11th classes.

*Semantics of the 5th class (li-)*

The grammatical semantics of the 5th class is characterized by the presence of the singular sign, and for a number of nouns – a sign of singularia tantum (for example: *lihuhunga* ‘dust’). In the Bantu languages, augmentatives usually belong to this class as well as all nouns that convey some idea of greatness, of hugeness, of heaviness or nobility. In the Nonde language, this feature is not realized.

The lexical semantics is diverse. In this class fall the names of round objects, fruits and parts of plants, parts of human and animals bodies, a number of physical phenomena, etc., for example: *liyai* ‘egg’, *lilombe* ‘corn’, *liyamba* ‘leaf’, *likongwa* ‘bark’, *litumbo* ‘stomach, belly’, *liduwa* ‘lake’, *liwingu* ‘cloud’, etc.

*Semantics of the 6th class (ma-, m-)*

The 6th class, in contrast to the 2nd and 4th plural classes, has more complex grammatical semantics. In addition to the expression of the plurality of the corresponding correlates of the singular (*litumbo* ‘belly’ > *matumbo* ‘bellies’) the prefix of this class **ma-** marks the nouns pluralia tantum. Usually these are the names of liquids, for example: *maasi* / *machi* ‘water’, *mahuta* ‘fat, vegetable oil’, *medi* ‘snow / ice’, etc. At the same time, the nouns of the 6th class are not only the plural correlates of the 5th class, but also of other classes, for example: *makoti* ‘neck’ < *ukoti* ‘neck’ (14th class), *madodo* ‘feet’ < *ludodo* ‘foot’ (11th class), *madila* ‘roads’ < *indila* ‘road’ (9th class), *mawiko* ‘fruits’ < *mwiko* ‘fruit’ (3rd class). Thus, the correlation by the number of the 6th class is represented as follows: 3→6, 5→6, 9→6, 11→6, 14→6.

A similar picture can be observed in other Bantu languages, for example in Swahili, where, according to Gromova [1], the prefix **ma-** neutralizes the category of the class in the plural.

The lexical semantics is in accordance with the meaning of the noun of the 5th class. Also, the lexical semantics expands its boundaries due to the semantics of the corresponding nouns of other classes of the singular.

*Semantics of the 7th class (chi-, ch-)*

In terms of content, the grammatical semantics of this class is characterized by a sign of singularity.

The lexico-semantic structure of the 7th class, which is called the ‘class of things’, includes the names of various subjects, for example: *chipula* ‘knife’, *chilongo* ‘potty’. This class includes also a list of the nouns that denote body parts (*chihua* ‘chest’, *chala* ‘hand’), some physical phenomena (*chilo* ‘night’), name of animals (*chiboko* ‘beheemoth’), etc.

*Semantics of the 8th class (vi-, vy-)*

For the grammatical semantics, the sign of plurality is characteristic. The lexical semantics corresponds to those nouns of the 7th class: *vipula* ‘knives’, *vihua* ‘chests’, *viala* ‘hands’, *vinanda* ‘beds’.

*Semantics of the 9th class (in-, ny-, im-, m-, Ø)*

The general grammatical attribute of the 9th class the singular category, which does not have regular matching in the plural. A number of nouns of this class can be defined as singularia tantum, for example: *mariri* ‘cold’, *kiu* ‘thirst’.

The lexical semantics is not homogeneous and can not be reduced to separate minimal semantic ranks/groups. According to the general semantic classification of Meinhof [15], this class is defined as the ‘animal class’, but in addition to the names of domestic and wild animals and other fauna, this class contains the names of household items, certain diseases, names of plants, for example: *ing’ombe* ‘cow’, *nyongo* ‘snake’, *ihinga* ‘calebas’, *imbwe* ‘cataract’, *nyumba* ‘house’, *imbalu* ‘bamboo’, etc.

*Semantics of the 10th class (di-)*

The grammatical semantics of the 10th class – the plural for those groups of nouns that are not marked by singularia tantum. Nouns of the 10th class correlate in number with correlated single-root nouns of the 9th class, as well as with certain groups of nouns of the 6th grade: *dimbula* ‘rains’ < *mbula* ‘rain’ (9th class), *indila* ‘road’ > *madila* ‘roads’ (6th class), i.e.

The lexical semantics of the nouns of this class correlates with the correlated single-root nouns of the 9th class.

*Semantics of the 11th class (lu-)*

In terms of content the nouns of the 11th class are characterized by the presence of a singularity criterion, according to which this class correlates with the 10th class: *luhombe* ‘nail’ > *dihombe* ‘nails’.

The lexical semantics of the nouns of this class is not homogeneous. The basic vocabulary contains the names of objects of thin or elongated shape, for example: *lupembe* ‘horn’, *luchiya* ‘root’, *lupaara* ‘wing’.

*Semantics of the 12th class (ka-)*

The plan of content of this class is characterized by the presence of a sign of singularity. According to the common Bantu classification, the plural form of the 12th class is transmitted by the 13th class with the prefix **tu-**. However, the 13th class in Ndonge is absent, and the plurality is expressed in accordance with the norms of the original word.

The general semantic characteristic of the 12th class is diminutiveness, i.e. partial or complete minimization of the original noun, for example: *kayanga* ‘small stone’ < *liyanga* ‘stone’, *kabijango* ‘clan’ < *ibijango* ‘tribe’.

*Semantics of the 14th class (u-)*

For the grammatical semantics of this class the sign of singularity is characteristic. The 14th class does not have the own class of plural correlate. Thus, the nouns belonging to this class receive the prefixes of other classes to form the plural number, for example: *ukoti* ‘neck’ > *dikoti* ‘neck’ (10th class), *uwimbo* ‘hair’ > *mawimbo* ‘hair’ (6th class), i.e.

The lexical semantics of this class are not homogeneous. Along with the specific nouns in the 14th class, abstract nouns are represented: *uyukuta* ‘satiation’, *ugoni* ‘adultery’, etc.

*Semantics of the 15th class (ku-)*

This is a class of so-called infinitives that function as verbs and verbal nouns. They do not have a plural number, and their lexical semantics is a designation of action, process, condition, for example: *kulongela* ‘speak’, *kuliima* ‘plow’. Any verb, issued with a class marker – the prefix **ku-**, can, like the noun, perform nominal functions: be subject, object, the nominal part of the predicate, determine agreement with the members of the syntagma.

*Semantics of the 16th (pa-), 17th (ku-) and 18th (mu-) classes*

All three classes are so-called Locative classes. In this case, only the 16th class has a unique locative noun – *pahaali* ‘place, location’. The semantics of the Locative classes, as in other Bantu languages, is defined as follows: the prefix marker of the 16th class **pa-** denotes the close location, the prefix of the 17th class **ku-** – the distant or uncertain location, the prefix of the 18th class **mu-** – being inside or on the surface, for example: *papii* ‘here, close’, *kulipa* ‘there, far away’, *kulehu* ‘somewhere there’, *muyo* ‘straight’.

**Results**

Thus, the Ndonge language shows the typological universals of Bantu languages at the level of grammar with the inevitable features of a lexical-semantic character. The nominal classes in Ndonge are tagged by a definite set of lexico-grammatical features. Thus, the lexical semantics of each class has been described through a certain set of characteristics. A smaller set of characteristics indicates greater homogeneity of the class (for example, in the case of classes 1, 2, 1A, 16, 17, 18), and a larger set of characteristics means a higher degree of heterogeneity (in the case of other classes, for example, classes 7, 8).

In Ndonge there are additional classes, unlike Swahili, for example, class 1A (Ndonge: *atati* ‘my father’), and unlike the languages of the P20 group, for example, the Mwera language (P22), there are no classes 2A (Mwera: *acatati* ‘our fathers’) and 13 (Mwera: *tukono* ‘small hands’) in Ndonge.

**Conclusion**

This paper has raised some issues regarding the complex of linguistic classification of the Ndonge language, socio-history of the Ndonge language community reflected in the modern status of Ndonge, the question of the death of Ndonge. It is extremely important to fix the uniqueness of its lexical and semantic structure and thereby contribute to the preservation of its general linguistic significance. The description of the grammatical semantics of the nominal classes in Ndonge was carried out from the point of view of contrasting each of them to other classes only on a numerical basis. Such aspects of grammatical semantics as personality, animality, augmentativity, diminutivity, laudativity, pejorativity, etc. are to be studied with further research of the Ndonge language.

Obtained the Ndonge language data can be used to refine the classification of the Bantu languages and writing comparative work on Bantu languages and the preparation of practical grammar of the Bantu languages, thus contributing to African and general linguistics.

**Благодарности**

Публикация подготовлена в рамках поддержанного Фондом фундаментальных лингвистических исследований научного проекта № А-21-14.

**Acknowledgement**

The publication was made in the scope of the scientific project № А-21-14 supported by the Foundation for Fundamental Linguistic Studies (FFLI).

**Конфликт интересов**

Не указан.

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

**Список литературы / References**

1. Громова Н.В. Нейтрализация категории класса во множественном числе в языках банту / Н.В. Громова // Вестник Московского университета. Серия 13, Востоковедение. – 1981. – № 3. – С. 41-50.
2. Громова Н.В. Ндонде: язык или диалект? / Н.В. Громова, М.Р. Урб // Африканский сборник – 2015. – СПб: МАЭ РАН, 2015. – 634 с.
3. Громова Н.В. Именные классы языка ндонде (по материалам полевого исследования) / Н.В. Громова, М.Р. Урб // Вестник Московского университета. Серия 13, Востоковедение. – 2015. – №2. – С. 12-20.
4. Ольдерогге Д.А. Языки и письменность народов Африки / Д.А. Ольдерогге // Народы Африки – М.: Издательство академии наук СССР, 1954. – С. 86-128.
5. Эдельман Д.И. К проблеме «язык» или «диалект» в условиях отсутствия письменности / Д.И. Эдельман // Теоретические основы классификации языков мира. – М., 1980. – С. 127-147.
6. Atlasi ya lugha za Tanzania [Atlas of the languages of Tanzania]. – Dar es Salaam: Chuo Kikuu cha Dar es Salaam, 2009. – 130 p. [in Swahili]
7. Bleek W.H.J. A comparative grammar of South African languages / W.H.J. Bleek. – London: Trübner, 1869. – 397 p.
8. Doke C.M. Bantu linguistic terminology / C.M. Doke. – London: Longmans, Green, and Co., 1935. – 237 p.
9. Guthrie M. The classification of the Bantu languages / M. Guthrie. – London: Oxford University Press for the International African Institute, 1948. – 91 p.
10. Guthrie M. Observations on nominal classes in Bantu languages / M. Guthrie // Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies. – 1956. – Vol. 18(3). – P. 545-555.
11. Guthrie M. Comparative Bantu: an introduction to the comparative linguistics and prehistory of the Bantu languages / M. Guthrie. – Farnborough: Gregg Press, 1967-1971. – Vol. 1-4.
12. Harries L.A. A grammar of Mwera, a Bantu language of the Eastern Zone spoken in the South-Eastern Zone of the Tanganyika territory. (Bantu grammatical archives, 1.) / L.A. Harries. – Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press, 1950. – X, 128 p.
13. Kraal P.J. A grammar of Makonde (Chinnima, Tanzania): proefschrift / P.J. Kraal. – Leiden: Drukkerij Schuurman, Papendrecht, 2005. – 459 p.
14. Maho J.F. The languages of Tanzania: a bibliography / J.F. Maho, B. Sands. – Göteborg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis, 2002. – 222 p.
15. Meinhof C. Grundzüge einer vergleichenden Grammatik der Bantusprachen [Principles of a comparative grammar of Bantu languages] / C. Meinhof. – Hamburg: Verlag von Eckardt & Messtorff, 1948. – 235 p. [in German].
16. Nyagali J. B. Habari za Wamwera [History of Mwera ethnos] / J.B. Nyagali. – Peramiho (Tanzania) & Ndanda (Tanzania): Benedictine Publications, 1990. – P. 9-10.

**Список литературы на английском языке / References in English**

1. Gromova N.V. Neitralizatsiya kategorii klassa vo mnozhestvennom chisle v yazykakh bantu [Neutralization of the class category in plural form in Bantu languages]. Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 13 Vostokovedenie [Bulletin of the Moscow university. Series 13. Oriental studies]. – 1981. – № 3. – P. 41–50. [in Russian]
2. Gromova N.V. Ndonde: yazyk ili dialekt? [Ndonde: language or dialect?] / N.V. Gromova, M.R. Urb // Afrikanskij sbornik [African collection]. – 2015. – SPb: MAEH RAN, 2015. – P. 378-385. [in Russian]
3. Gromova, N.V. Imennye klassy jazyka ndonde (po materialam polevogo issledovanija) [The noun class system in Ndonde]. Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya 13 Vostokovedenie [Bulletin of the Moscow university. Series 13. Oriental studies]. – 2015. – № 2. – P. 12-20. [in Russian]
4. Olderogge D.A. Yazyki i pismennost narodov Afriki [Languages and writing of the peoples of Africa] / D.A. Olderogge // Narody Afriki [Peoples of Africa]. – М.: Izdatelstvo akademii nauk SSSR, 1954. – P. 86-128. [in Russian]
5. Edelman D.I. K probleme «yazyk» ili «dialekt» v usloviyah otsutstviya pismennosti [On the problem of language or dialect in a lack of writing] / D.I. Edelman // Teoreticheskie osnovy klassifikacii yazykov mira [The theoretical basis of the classification of the world's languages]. – М., 1980. – P. 127-147. [in Russian]
6. Atlasi ya lugha za Tanzania [Atlas of the languages of Tanzania]. – Dar es Salaam: Chuo Kikuu cha Dar es Salaam, 2009. – 130 p. [in Swahili]
7. Bleek W.H.J. A comparative grammar of South African languages / W.H.J. Bleek. – London: Trübner, 1869. – 397 p.
8. Doke C.M. Bantu linguistic terminology / C.M. Doke. – London: Longmans, Green, and Co., 1935. – 237 p.
9. Guthrie M. The classification of the Bantu languages / M. Guthrie. – London: Oxford University Press for the International African Institute, 1948. – 91 p.
10. Guthrie M. Observations on nominal classes in Bantu languages / M. Guthrie // Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies. – 1956. – Vol. 18(3). – P. 545-555.
11. Guthrie M. Comparative Bantu: an introduction to the comparative linguistics and prehistory of the Bantu languages / M. Guthrie. – Farnborough: Gregg Press, 1967-1971. – Vol. 1-4.

12. Harries L.A. A grammar of Mwera, a Bantu language of the Eastern Zone spoken in the South-Eastern Zone of the Tanganyika territory. (Bantu grammatical archives, No 1) / L.A. Harries. – Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press, 1950. – X, 128 p.
13. Kraal P.J. A grammar of Makonde (Chinnima, Tanzania): proefschrift / P.J. Kraal. – Leiden: Drukkerij Schuurman, Papendrecht, 2005. – 459 p.
14. Maho J.F. The languages of Tanzania: a bibliography / J.F. Maho, B. Sands. – Göteborg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis, 2002. – 222 p.
15. Meinhof C. Grundzüge einer vergleichenden Grammatik der Bantusprachen [Principles of a comparative grammar of Bantu languages] / C. Meinhof. – Hamburg: Verlag von Eckardt & Messtorff, 1948. – 235 p. [in German]
16. Nyagali J. B. Habari za Wamwera [History of Mwera ethnos] / J.B. Nyagali. – Peramiho (Tanzania) & Ndanda (Tanzania): Benedictine Publications, 1990. – P. 9–10. [in Swahili]

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18454/RULB.2018.15.3.2>

## МЕТОДОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ ПРОЦЕССА ПОДГОТОВКИ ПРЕПОДАВАТЕЛЕЙ НЕЯЗЫКОВОГО ВУЗА К ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ УЧЕБНОГО ПРОЦЕССА НА АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ

Научная статья

**Мерхелевич Г.В.\***

Учебно-методический комплекс иностранных языков АРПИ, Донецк Донецкая Народная Республика;  
Донецкий национальный университет Донецк Донецкая Народная Республика

\* Корреспондирующий автор (info[at]arpi.com.ua)

### Аннотация

В настоящей статье рассмотрены методы обучения преподавателей вуза иностранному языку с целью их подготовки к организации полноценного обучения студентов на иностранном языке неязыковым дисциплинам их специализации. Приведены психологические и педагогические ресурсы, применение которых позволило успешно провести подготовку целого ряда учебных кафедр университета к переходу на обучение иностранных студентов на английском языке по всем учебным дисциплинам, включенных в состав образовательной программы вуза.

Описанные в статье методологические подходы являются универсальными, вследствие чего применимы для обучения преподавателей любых неязыковых специальностей с целью их подготовки к организации учебного процесса на любом иностранном языке.

В статье приведены результаты сравнения методики обучения и учебно-методических материалов, используемых на Западе с таковыми, которые применялись и применяются в странах ближнего зарубежья. Рассматриваются в статье также другие психолого-педагогические подходы и методические приемы, опробованные автором и рекомендуемые при организации учебного процесса на иностранном языке. К таковым относятся перевод на английский язык материалов учебно-методического комплекса, а также дополнительные материалы, способствующие освоению международных норм и стандартов, относящихся в организации учебного процесса на английском языке.

**Ключевые слова:** английский, методика, обучение, овладение, подход, прием.

## LEARNING-CENTERED METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES, METHODS AND TECHNIQUES OF TEACHING ENGLISH TO NON-NATIVE UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS

Research article

**Merkhelevich G.V.\***

The ARPI ELT & Translation Agency, Donetsk, Donetsk People's Republic;  
Donetsk National University (DonNU), Donetsk, Donetsk People's Republic

\* Corresponding author (info[at]arpi.com.ua)

### Abstract

This paper discusses methods that can be used to turn non-native university teachers into skillful foreign language users thereby enabling them to conduct classes on their particular subjects to students in a foreign language.

Also discussed are some resources that had been used to successfully prepare university professors from a few dozen faculty departments to start offering a full scale higher education programme in English.

The English language teaching approaches described in this paper are applicable for other foreign languages or with professionals of any specialization area. The West-developed and former USSR invented methodologies and teaching materials are compared.

This paper also touches upon other services rendered as applicable to delivering classes in a foreign language, The services are mostly related to the translation of academic forms to English and including international norms and standards in the academic courses of studies delivered.

**Keywords:** approach, English, learning, method, teaching, technique.

### Introduction and Case History

In 2002, the ARPI ELT and Translation Agency (hereinafter referred to as ARPI), managed by the author, entered into a 12-year agreement with the Donetsk National Medical University of Maxim Gorky. The main aim of the agreement was to train staff of the university to give lessons and render other medicine-centered education services in the English medium.